Exhibit O

```
Page 1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
           NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION
2
                3
4
    TELEBRANDS CORPORATION,
          Plaintiffs
5
6
                               CASE NO.
          VS.
                                1:23-cv-00631-BMB
7
    WINSTON PRODUCTS, LLC
8
           Defendants
                9
10
               VIDEOTAPED EXPERT DEPOSITION OF:
11
                JAMES L. GLANCEY, Ph.D., P.E.
12
1.3
                           Taken On:
1 4
                         April 3, 2024
                           8:29 a.m.
15
16
                          Taken at:
17
                       Tucker Ellis, LLP
18
                     950 Main Ave Ste 1100
19
                        Cleveland, Ohio
20
21
22
23
24
                 Stenographic Court Reporter:
             Kelliann D. Linberg, RPR, Notary Public
25
```

```
Page 2
1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
     On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
 3
          Kelley Drye & Warren LLP
 4
 5
          JOSHUA LONG, ESQ.
          515 Post Oak Blvd
 6
 7
          Suite 900
          Houston, TX, 77027
8
 9
          Jlong@kelleydrye.com
10
1 1
12
     On behalf of the Defendants:
13
          Tucker Ellis, LLP
14
          NICHOLAS B. CLIFFORD JR., ESQ.
15
          100 S 4th St, Suite 600
16
          St. Louis, MO, 63102
17
          Nicholas.clifford@tuckerellis.com
18
          (314) 571-4962
19
20
21
    ALSO PRESENT:
22
       RANDY ANDREWS, Videographer
23
24
25
```

	Page 3
1	TRANSCRIPT INDEX
2	PAGE NO.
3	APPEARANCES2
4	INDEX OF EXHIBITS4
5	INDEX OF OBJECTIONS5
6	PROCEEDINGS BEGIN11
7	
8	EXAMINATION OF JAMES L. GLANCEY, Ph.D., P.E.:
9	DIRECT BY MR. CLIFFORD12
10	AFTERNOON SESSION145
11	REDIRECT BY MR. LONG225
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE229
18	
19	
20	EXHIBIT CUSTODY: RETAINED BY COURT REPORTER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			Page 4
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2	Number	Description	Marked
3	DEFENDANTS:		PAGE NO.
4	Exhibit 1	Declaration of Dr. James	15
		Glancey, December 2023, with	
5		Attached Exhibits	
6	Exhibit 2	Sur-Rebuttal Declaration of	15
		Dr. James Glancey, March 2024	1
7			
	Exhibit 3	Rebuttal Expert Declaration	77
8		of John Feland, III, Ph.D.	
9	Exhibit 4	12/26/2023 Letter To Vincent	90
		Ferraro	
10			
	Exhibit 5	Exhibit B to Opening Report,	91
11		2013 Webster's Dictionary	
		Excerpts	
12			
	Exhibit 6	Oxford Thesaurus of English	102
13	_ , , , , , , ,	Excerpt	1.1.0
14	Exhibit 7	'870 Patent	116
15	Exhibit 8	Winston's Updated Claim	134
1.0		Construction, 3/29/2024	
16	Db-:b-:+ O		150
17	Exhibit 9	Dr. Glancey's Exhibit D from	n 158
18		Surrebuttal	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

			Page 5
1		INDEX OF OBJECTIONS	
2	OBJECTION:	PAGE NO.	
3	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG24	
Ü	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG30	
4	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG30	
_	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
5	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
J	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
6	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
Ü	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
7	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG 49	
,	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG50	
8	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG50	
Ü	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
9	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
_	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
10	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG53	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG54	
11	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
12	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG60	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
13	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG61	
14	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG61	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG63	
15	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG64	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG65	
16	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG66	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG67	
17	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG67	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG67	
18	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG68	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG69	
19	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG70	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG71	
20	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG72	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG73	
21	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG73	
22	OBJECTION BY MR.		
_		LONG	
23	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
		LONG	
24	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG	
		LONG	
25		LONG	
	OBJECTION BY MR.	LONG79	

						Page 6
1	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
2	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
3	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	82	
4	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	82	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	83	
5	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
6	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
7	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
8	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
9	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	92	
10	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
11	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
12	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
13	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
14	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
15	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
16	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG	101	
1		BY	MR.	LONG		
17	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
1.0	OBJECTION			LONG		
18	OBJECTION			LONG		
1.0	OBJECTION			LONG		
19	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
2.0	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
20	OBJECTION OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
21	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG LONG		
Z 1	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
22	OBJECTION			LONG		
८ ८	OBJECTION			LONG		
23	OBJECTION			LONG		
2)	OBJECTION			LONG		
24	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
٠ ،	OBJECTION			LONG		
25	OBJECTION			LONG		
	OBJECTION			LONG		
			, •			

						Page 7
1	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
_	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	110	
2	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
_	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
3	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
J	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
4	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG	112	
-	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG	113	
5	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВY	MR.	LONG		
6	OBJECTION	ВY	MR.	LONG	114	
_	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
7	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
8	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
9	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	118	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	118	
10	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	118	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
11	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	119	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
12	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
13	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
14	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	122	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	122	
15	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	123	
1.0	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG	123	
16	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
1 7		BY	MR.	LONG	125	
17	OBJECTION			LONG		
1 0	OBJECTION			LONG		
18	OBJECTION OBJECTION			LONG LONG		
19	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
19	OBJECTION			LONG		
20	OBJECTION			LONG		
20	OBJECTION			LONG		
21	OBJECTION			LONG		
	OBJECTION			LONG		
22	OBJECTION			LONG		
	OBJECTION			LONG		
23	OBJECTION			LONG		
	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
24	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	135	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	135	
25	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	137	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		

						Page 8
						- 490 0
1	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
2	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
3	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
4	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
5	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
6	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	143	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
7	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
8	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	148	
9	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
10	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
11	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
12	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
13	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
14	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
4 -	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
15	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
1.0	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
16	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
1 7		BY	MR.	LONG		
17	OBJECTION			LONG		
18	OBJECTION OBJECTION			LONG LONG		
10	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
19	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
1)	OBJECTION			LONG		
20	OBJECTION			LONG		
20	OBJECTION			LONG		
21	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION			LONG		
22	OBJECTION			LONG		
_ -	OBJECTION			LONG		
23	OBJECTION			LONG		
	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
24	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION			LONG	168	
25	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	168	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	169	

						- 0
						Page 9
1	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG	169	
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
2	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
3	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
4	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
5	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
6	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
7	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
8	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
9	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
10	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
11	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
12	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
1.0	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG		
13	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
1 4	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
14	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
1 -	OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
15	OBJECTION OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG		
16		BY	MR.	LONG		
ТО	OBJECTION OBJECTION	BY	MR.	LONG LONG		
17		BY	MR. MR.	LONG		
Ι/	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG		
18	OBJECTION			LONG		
10	OBJECTION			LONG		
19			MR.	LONG		
19	OBJECTION			LONG		
20	OBJECTION			LONG		
20	OBJECTION			LONG		
21	OBJECTION		MR.	LONG	193	
4 1	OBJECTION			LONG	194	
22	OBJECTION			LONG	195	
۷ ۷	OBJECTION			LONG		
23	OBJECTION			LONG		
2 0			MR.	LONG		
24	OBJECTION			LONG		
	OBJECTION			LONG	197	
25	OBJECTION			LONG	198	
	OBJECTION			LONG		
		_				

				Page 10
1	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG200
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG200
2	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG200
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG201
3	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG202
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG204
4	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG205
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG206
5	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG208
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG209
6	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG209
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG212
7	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG213
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG213
8	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG213
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG214
9	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG215
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG215
10	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG218
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG218
11	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG218
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG219
12	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG219
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG221
13	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG221
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG222
14	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG222
	OBJECTION			LONG222
15	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG223
	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG223
16	OBJECTION			LONG224
	OBJECTION			LONG225
17	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	LONG225
	OBJECTION			
18	OBJECTION	ВҮ	MR.	CLIFFORD227
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Page 11 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are going on the record at 8:29 a.m. on April 3, 2024. 4 5 This is Media Unit Number 1 of the video recorded 6 deposition of James Glancey taken by counsel for the Defendant in the matter of Telebrands Corporation 7 versus Winston Products, LLC. Filed in the United 8 9 States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division, Case Number 1:23-CV-00631. 10 11 We are at 950 Main Avenue in Cleveland, 12 Ohio. My name is Randy Andrews representing Veritext. 13 I am the videographer. The court reporter is Kelliann 14 Linberg, also with Veritext. Counsel present please 15 identify themselves for the record, beginning with the 16 noticing attorney. 17 MR. CLIFFORD: My name is Nicholas Clifford. I'm with Tucker Ellis on behalf of Defendant, 18 19 Winston Products. 20 MR. LONG: Joshua Long with Kelley Drye on 21 behalf of Telebrands, the Plaintiff. 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: If you could, clip your 2.3 microphone on for me, that be great. I heard you, 24 but --25 MR. LONG: Do you want me to repeat?

Page 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah, if you would. 1 2 MR. LONG: Joshua Long with Kelley Drye on 3 behalf of the Plaintiff, Telebrands. 4 5 JAMES L. GLANCEY, Ph.D., P.E., of lawful 6 age, called for examination, as provided by the Ohio 7 Rules of Civil Procedure, being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, deposed and said as follows: 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF JAMES L. GLANCEY, PH.D., P.E. 9 BY MR. CLIFFORD: 10 11 Dr. Glancey, would you state your full 12 name, please? 13 Α. James Lawrence Glancey. 14 I am going to just go through a couple 15 preliminaries. I am sure you've been through this 16 before. But you understand that you are here today to 17 give complete and accurate responses to my questions; is that correct? 18 19 Yes. Α. 20 Okay. And is there anything impairing your 21 ability to testify today? Medical conditions or 22 otherwise? 2.3 Α. No. 24 And you intend to give truthful testimony 25 today, correct?

Q. You are not giving us a definition of what the plain of ordinary meaning is --

MR. LONG: Objection.

Q. -- are you?

2.3

MR. LONG: Objection. Form.

- A. I am not -- I'm not an attorney, but my understanding is when we invoke the plain and ordinary meaning, no definition is required --
 - Q. Okay --
- A. -- if you use the plain and ordinary meaning.
- Q. I'm not asking what your understanding of the law is. I am asking -- I am just asking you to agree that you are, in fact, not providing a definition of what the plain and ordinary meaning is, right?

 MR. LONG: Objection. Form. Asked and answered.
- A. I'll take it a step further. I believe -not only do I believe that we do not have to provide a
 definition when a plain and ordinary meaning
 instruction comes from the Court, I did not do that
 here because I did not feel -- my understanding is, it
 was not -- it is not necessary, and that's why I didn't
 do it. We are supposed to use the plain and ordinary
 meaning of these terms.

Q. Now, throughout the opening and surrebuttal reports here, you cite some dictionary definitions in your reports, but you never say which definition is the plain and ordinary meaning of the terms as used in the patent, do you?

MR. LONG: Objection. Form.

Mischaracterizes his testimony.

2.3

A. Yeah, I don't believe I said anything of that. I cited those dictionary definitions not as the foundation for what the plain and ordinary meaning was. That was not the purpose.

The purpose of me citing those definitions was to rebut Dr. Feland's constructions. So, my -- my references to definitions was strictly for the purposes of rebutting what Dr. Feland had proposed in his constructions.

Q. Okay. So, your opening report and your surrebuttal report do not actually state what a POCITA considered the plain and ordinary meaning to be of any of the disputed claim terms, right?

MR. LONG: Objection. Form. Asked and answered.

A. I think I have said it already. My opinion is, a POCITA uses the plain and ordinary meaning of these terms, period. There was no definition provided

Page 87 1 because there wasn't one required. 2 All right. Let's look at the Group A terms, okay? So, Group A terms are: "secured", "to 3 couple", and "couple to", right? 4 5 You are referring to -- where are you referring to? Page 14? 6 7 Ο. Yeah. 8 Α. Okay. 9 And I think we are using the phrase, Group 10 A terms just as a shorthand for those three claim terms; is that fair? 11 12 Α. Yeah, absolutely. 13 Q. Okay. 14 I took hesitation because at some point it goes from "secured" to "secured to". So, I just want 15 16 to make sure I was reading from the same place you 17 were. 18 Right. Well -- so, I guess we will get to 0. 19 that. But I am just using your declaration here, which 20 is Exhibit 1. And as Group A, it says: "secured", "to 21 couple", and "coupled to", right? 22 You read that correctly. Α. 2.3 Okay. So, here in Paragraph 46, you said 0. 24 what you understood Winston Products' proposed claim

constructions to be for those three claim terms, right?

25

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. And then, in particular, you said that for "secured", Winston's proposed claim construction was, "permanently attached," right?
 - A. That is what it says there, yes.
- Q. And as of December 29th, which was, I think, the date of your --
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. -- report, Winston had already changed its construction by that time, correct?
- A. I don't know if I knew that or not. I was basing it on the tables that I had read prior to the 29th. I don't know -- I will be candid with you, I don't know if I knew that, that Winston had changed its construction. Certainly, they had by the time I wrote my surrebuttal.
 - Q. Yeah.
- A. I was aware of it then. I can't say that I knew that when I wrote this report.
- Q. And that may be fair, you might not have known it, but by that point in time, in fact, Winston had offered new claim constructions to Telebrands' counsel. Are you aware of that now?
 - MR. LONG: Objection. Form.
 - A. As I said, I may not have been aware of

Page 111 I haven't made that assessment. I can look 1 Α. 2 at that. Can you hand me the patent and I will make 3 that assessment, please? So, let's start with the '870. 4 Q. (Indicating). This is not the complete 5 6 '870 Patent. 7 MR. LONG: Need to take a break? 8 MR. CLIFFORD: Yeah. 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:16. 10 (Off the record.) 11 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 11:17. 13 BY MR. CLIFFORD: 14 So, let's go back to, couple and secured. 15 Do you agree that the meanings of, couple and secured 16 overlap? 17 MR. LONG: Objection. Form. 18 Α. They might. I can also see where they 19 don't. I can see examples. From an engineering 20 perspective, again, they don't have the same meaning. 2.1 Do the meanings potentially overlap? They 22 might. I hadn't really considered that. There was no 23 reason for me to make that kind of comparison. 2.4 patents, as I read them, don't require a reader to make 25 that comparison.

- Q. So, take a look at Exhibit 3, please. This is Dr. Feland's report.
 - A. (Indicating).
 - Q. So, please turn to Page 24, Paragraph 61.
- A. I'm there.

1

2

3

4

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

6 Okay. So, in Paragraph 61, Dr. Feland says 7 that, "Because of the overlapping nature of the terms, like 'secured' and 'coupled', if the Court were not to 8 9 construe them, as Dr. Glancey -- as suggested by 10 Dr. Glancey, this would lead to an inherent ambiguity 11 regarding their meaning and scope in the 12 Patents-in-Suit, even to a POCITA at the time of 13 invention".

Now, you did not address in your report, even though Dr. Glancey mentions the overlapping nature of those words, what the difference is between secured and coupled, correct?

MR. LONG: Objection. Form. You mean Dr. Feland?

- A. Yeah, you named me. You should have said him.
 - Q. Strike that.

Even though Dr. Feland said in Paragraph 61 of his report that there was an overlapping nature between the terms, secured and coupled, you did not

Page 113 address that in your report and explain the difference 1 2 between them, did you? MR. LONG: Objection. Form. 3 I did not compare the term because there is 4 5 no reason to compare the terms when reading the claims. 6 There is no option given to the reader of the claims 7 that, choose -- choose either secure or coupled to connect things. There is no -- there is no phrasing 8 9 like that that would require a reader to make that 10 comparison between the two. The patent claims are very 11 clear. 12 They choose secure in some instances, they 13 choose coupled in some other instances, or "to couple", 14 "couple to". There is no impetus for me to make that comparison because the claims don't require any such 15 16 comparison. 17 So, your opinion is that, couple, as it is 0. 18 used in the patents, is unambiguous? 19 MR. LONG: Objection. Form. 2.0 The patents are unambiguous. The claims Α. 21 are unambiguous, I should say. 22 So, you also then opine that the word, Q. 2.3 secured, as used in the patents, is unambiguous? 24 MR. LONG: Objection. Form.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

25

Α.

Yes.

2.3

Page 114

Q. Okay. And your surrebuttal, despite the opinions of Dr. Feland in Paragraph 61, and the paragraphs that follow, do not explain why both the words, couple and secured, as they appear in the patents, does not create ambiguity, do you?

MR. LONG: Objection. Form.

- A. Can you rephrase that? I got kind of lost. I was thinking while I was listening.
- Q. Your reports do not specifically explain, despite what Dr. Feland said in Paragraph 61, and the paragraphs that follow, why the words, couple and secured, as used in the patents, do not create ambiguity?

MR. LONG: Objection. Form.

A. I read Dr. Feland's opinion. I went back and re-read the claims. There is no motivation, reason, or need to compare those two terms when you read the claims. There is no reason to compare those terms.

And just because terms may or may not be overlapping, or have some overlapping meanings, is not reason to still take them at their plain and ordinary meaning. Those -- those terms were used exclusively at different places, and there is no confusion about should I use the word, secure, or should I use the

well, I think, now I want to point to you.

2.3

So, it does use the word, secure, or secured, in the context of connecting the hose to a water source. So, the patent does do that. You were asking, well, I had that hypothetical, and one could secure. You would imagine that.

In the patent -- I went back and read it at lunchtime -- and I forgot to mention that on Page 24 of my surrebuttal, there is a couple examples quoted from the specification where the patent does attempt to clarify some of these things.

So, Page 24, middle of the page, "For example, when the hose 10 of the present invention is utilized as a garden hose around a house, coupler 18 is secured to a faucet or water outlet". So, the specification takes it a step further and starts to instruct and inform what the inventors had in mind in terms of how to properly interpret and use their — their word choice of, secured.

They are giving you a clear example right here. And they do another one. There's another example further down on that same page.

Q. All right. So, doesn't that exacerbate the ambiguity, because now they are using the same words interchangeably for the exact same connection?

Page 177 1 MR. LONG: Objection. Form. 2 No. No, I don't think there is any 3 ambiguity whatsoever. It is very clear what they say 4 here. 5 Q. So, you can secure or you can couple the 6 threaded connection where you are screwing a coupler to 7 the faucet on the side of a house? MR. LONG: Objection. Form. 8 9 Α. That's the example that I just pointed to 10 here, yeah. 11 Right. So, how is anybody looking at this Ο. 12 patent supposed to figure out the difference between a 13 connection that is exactly the same? So, you, 14 yourself, said this connection right here on Page 19, 15 which is a faucet with a threaded connection -- now 16 that's a standard hose, but it uses the same type of 17 threaded connection as the hoses at issue here, okay. 18 There is one way to do that threaded connection, right? 19 MR. LONG: Objection. Form. 2.0 This specific faucet, there is only one way Q. 21 to attach to that, right? 22 MR. LONG: Objection. 2.3 You thread to that faucet with a threaded 0. 24 coupler, correct? 25 MR. LONG: Objection. Form.

- 1 A. There is one way to make -- to connect the 2 hose?
 - Q. Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.0

21

22

- A. You engage the threads and you rotate them until they are firm.
 - Q. Right. So, your example, and the patent calls for, in Claim 1 of the '870 Patent, for that connection to be coupled, right?
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
 - MR. LONG: Objection.
 - Q. And now you are saying, well, alternatively, there is some language in the spec that says you could also secure it?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. All right. But, so, that connection right there on Page 19 of your surrebuttal report can mean either couple or secured?
- MR. LONG: Objection. Form.
- 19 Mischaracterizes his testimony.
 - A. That's not what I said. The claims are very clear. The claims say secure and couple at different places.
- There is no -- there is no option given to
 a reader of the patent that says you can secure it or
 couple it. The claims are crystal clear in terms of

where they use those words at the required times. And if you look at the claims, there is no, you can secure it or you can couple it.

I said this before, there is no ambiguity there. When you need to secure something, it says secure. And it says, in other places, you can couple.

- Q. All right. So, tell me, on Page 19, is that hose coupled or secured to that faucet?
 - MR. LONG: Objection. Form.
 - A. It is coupled.

2.3

- Q. And how do you know that?

 MR. LONG: Objection. Form.
- A. It is using a threaded connection to make that attachment of the hose to that spigot.
- Q. Are you sure that that is not a hose of the present invention utilized as a garden hose around a house where the coupler is secured to a faucet or water outlet on an exterior of the wall of the house? That's not the exact same thing as what we see in the photo on Page 19.
 - MR. LONG: Objection. Form.
- A. (Indicating). Yes, as the patent states, the coupler 18 is secured to the faucet or water outlet. So, it is a coupling that is secured, as is stated in Column 10 of the patent.

Page 180 1 Q. So, it is both coupled and secured? 2 No, that's not what I said. Α. 3 MR. LONG: Objection. Form. It is a coupler that is secured. It is not 4 Α. coupled to. It is a coupler is secured. 5 6 You said -- okay. We'll leave it there. Q. 7 MR. CLIFFORD: Let's take a break. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 8 9 1:40. 10 (Off the record.) 11 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record. This is 13 the beginning of Media Unit Number 5. The time is 2:02. 14 15 BY MR. CLIFFORD: 16 All right. Dr. Glancey, I want to move on 17 to Group B terms now. If you could, open your original 18 report, please, to -- we will start on Page 15 just to 19 identify which terms we are talking about. 20 Okay (indicating). Α. 21 So, this is the set of three phrases. I read them before, but I will get them in the record 22 23 again, that we are calling Group B terms. 2.4 First is, "Said inner and outer tubes, 25 unsecured between said first and second ends so that

said outer tube is not held in frictional contact with said inner tube so that said outer tube can move freely along said inner tube".

And the second one says, "Said inner tube is unsecured to said outer tube between said first and second ends so that said outer tube can move freely over said inner tube".

And and third one is, "Said flexible inner tube unsecured to said flexible outer tube between said first and second ends so that said flexible outer tube can move freely over said flexible inner tube ".

Did I read those Group B terms correctly?

A. Yes.

2.3

- Q. Great. All right. So, let's look, for a second, over to your Paragraph 52 in the opening report.
 - A. I'm there.
- Q. All right. And, actually, before we get to 52, I just want to confirm. So, with respect to your opinions on Group B terms, you believe that a person of ordinary skill would apply a plain meaning to these, and that they do not need to be construed by the Court; is that fair?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And you go on at the beginning of

Page 227 'coupled to'." Yes, it specifically addresses the 1 2 overlapping issue. 3 And what is your reasoning addressing 0. 4 the -- in contrast to Dr. Feland's opinion? Well, I think if you just take these terms 5 and use their plain and ordinary meaning, there would 6 7 be no confusion. 8 MR. LONG: No further questions. 9 No questions. MR. CLIFFORD: 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's 11 testimony and we'll go off the record at 3:32. 12 (Off the video record). 13 MR. LONG: Read and sign. 14 15 (The Videotaped Deposition was concluded at 3:32 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2.3 24 25

Page 228 1 Whereupon, Counsel was requested to give 2 instruction regarding the witness's review of the 3 transcript pursuant to the Civil Rules. 4 5 SIGNATURE: 6 Transcript review was requested pursuant to the 7 8 applicable Rules of Civil Procedure. 9 10 TRANSCRIPT DELIVERY: 11 Counsel was requested to give instruction regarding 12 delivery date of transcript. 1.3 Original transcript? Mr. Clifford: Yes, expedited delivery. 14 15 Certified transcript? Mr. Long: Yes, three day expedited delivery. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2.3 2.4 25

Page 229 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2. The State of Ohio, SS: 3 County of Cuyahoga. 4 I, KELLIANN D. LINBERG, RPR, a Notary Public 5 in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, certify that the within named witness, 6 7 JAMES GLANCEY, Ph.D., P.E., was by me duly sworn to testify the whole truth, in the cause aforesaid; that 8 the testimony was taken down by me in stenotypy in the 9 presence of said witness; afterwards transcribed upon a 10 11 computer; that the foregoing is a true and correct 12 transcript of the testimony given by said witness taken 13 at the time and place in the foregoing caption 14 specified. 15 I further certify that I am not a relative, 16 employee, or attorney of any of the parties hereto, or 17 of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties, or 18 financially interested in the action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 20 hand and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland, Ohio, on this 8th day of April, 2024. 21 2.2 23 Kelliann D. Linberg, RPR Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio 24 My commission expires: May 25, 2024. 25